

AD-A087 648

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/20
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
APR 80 A W SINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

USAEHA-75-51-0020-80


USAEHA-75-51-0022-80

NL

1 of 1
6/2/80



END
DATE
FILMED
9-80
DTIC



LEVEL

11



UNITED STATES ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE
AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE
INSECT REPELLENTS AI3-37135 AND AI3-37156 AND AI3-37157
1,2,3,6-TETRAHYDRO-1-(2-METHYL-1-OXOPENTYL) PYRIDINE,
1-(1-OXOBUTYL)-4-(PHENYLMETHYL) PIPERIDINE, AND
1-(2-METHYL-1-OXOPROPYL)-4-(PHENYLMETHYL) PIPERIDINE,
STUDY NOS. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, AND 75-51-0022-80

Final rept. MAR 1977 - APR 1980

⑩ Allen W. Singer

⑪ Apr 80

⑫ 9

⑬ USAHA-75-51-1184-11

1 USAEHA-75-51-0021-10

DTIC
SELECTE

AUG 6 1980

⑭
A USAEHA

⑮ 75-51-0022-1

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

80 8 6 03 6

ADA 087648

A
E
H
A

DOC FILE COPY

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, 75-51-0022-80	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A057 648	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents, AI3-37135, AI3-37156, and AI3-37157, 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-(2-methyl-1-oxopentyl)pyridine, 1-(1-oxobutyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)piperidine, and 1-(2-methyl-1-oxopropyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)piperidine, Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final, Mar 77 - Apr 80	
7. AUTHOR(s) 0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, Mar 77 - Apr	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
ALLEN W. SINGER, CPT, VC	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234	12. REPORT DATE Mar 77 - Apr 80	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AI3-37135 Skin Irritation AI3-37156 Topical Hazard Evaluation Program AI3-37157 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-(2-methyl-1-oxopentyl)pyridine Eye Irritation 1-(1-oxobutyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)piperidine Photo Chemical Skin Irritation 1-(2-methyl-1-oxopropyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)piperidine		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Preliminary hazard evaluations of AI3-37135, AI3-37156, and AI3-37157 were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compounds did not cause any skin or sensitization reactions, and only mild corneal irritations. AI3-37135 and AI3-37156, however, caused phototoxic reactions, and were not approved for further testing. AI3-37157 did not cause such a reaction, was not acutely toxic by ingestion, and was approved for further testing as a candidate repellent.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CPT Singer/cf/AUTOVON
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY 584-3980
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

18 JUL 1980

HSE-LT-T/WP

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents, AI3-37135, AI3-37156, and AI3-37157, 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-(2-methyl-1-oxopentyl) pyridine, 1-(1-oxobutyl)-4-(phenylmethyl) piperidine, and 1-(2-methyl-1-oxopropyl)-4-(phenylmethyl) piperidine, Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, March 1977 - April 1980

Executive Secretary
Armed Forces Pest Management Board
Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

Preliminary hazard evaluations of AI3-37135, AI3-37156, and AI3-37157 were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compounds did not cause any skin or sensitization reactions, and only mild corneal irritations. AI3-37135 and AI3-37156, however, caused phototoxic reactions, and were not approved for further testing. AI3-37157 did not cause such a reaction, was not acutely toxic by ingestion, and was approved for further testing as a candidate repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl
as (5 cy)

John F. Mazur, etc, etc
JOHN F. MAZUR
MAJ, MSC
Director, Laboratory Services

CF:
HQDA (DASG-PSP)
Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P)
Dir, Advisory Ctr on Tox, NRC
Supt, AHS (HSA-IPM)
USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)
USDA, ARS-Southern Region

Dist	A
Special	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE
INSECT REPELLENTS AI3-37135, AI3-37156 AND AI3-37157
1,2,3,6-TETRAHYDRO-1-(2-METHYL-1-OXOPENTYL) PYRIDINE,
1-(1-OXOBUTYL)-4-(PHENYLMETHYL) PIPERIDINE, AND
1-(2-METHYL-1-OXOPROPYL-4-(PHENYLMETHYL) PIPERIDINE
STUDY NOS. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, AND 75-51-0022-80
MARCH 1977 - APRIL 1980

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 2 March 1977.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administration, titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAHA), 1972, revised 1976.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellents AI3-37135, AI3-37156 and AI3-37157.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluations of the above candidate repellents were conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for skin sensitization studies and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*†

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1978.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, Mar 77 - Apr 80

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	None of the three compounds caused any irritation of the intact skin or of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix).
0.5 mL of each technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.		
<u>EYE IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL of each technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	All of the three compounds caused mild irritation of corneal and conjunctival tissues.	USAEHA Category C (ref Appendix).
<u>APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)</u>		
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male) - no diluent	The ALD for AI3-37156 was determined to be >7400 mg/kg.	Neither AI3-37156 or AI3-37157 presents a lethal hazard from accidental ingestion.
	The ALD for AI3-37157 was found to be 3300 mg/kg.	AI3-37135 was not tested for ALD.

Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, Mar 77 - Apr 80

Test	Results	Interpretation
------	---------	----------------

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

A single 0.05 mL application of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of each compound and a 10 percent (w/v) Oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (355 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

A 25 percent solution of both AI3-37135 and AI3-37156 in ethanol caused a photochemical irritation reaction. AI3-37157 did not cause such reaction.

Compounds AI3-37135 and AI3-37156 caused a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and may cause a similar photochemical irritation in humans.

Compound AI3-37157 would not be expected to cause a phototoxic reaction in humans.

Control

Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 mL of test compounds, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.

Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, Mar 77 - Apr 80

Test	Results	Interpretation
------	---------	----------------

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 mL of a 0.1 percent solution (w/v) of each compound or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs were given 10 sensitizing doses of each compound over a 3-week period. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with 10 injections of each respective test compound.

Challenge dose of all compounds did not produce sensitization reactions.

Compounds AI3-37135, AI3-37156 and AI3-37157 did not produce sensitization reactions under test conditions and are not expected to produce sensitization reactions in man.

Ten positive control guinea pigs were sensitized over 3 weeks with DNCB. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with 10 injections of DNCB.

Challenge doses of DNCB in positive control guinea pigs produces a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.

DNCB produced a marked reaction, indicating the guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

* A known skin sensitizer

5. CONCLUSION. Compounds AI3-37135 and AI3-37156 in ethanol caused phototoxic reactions in rabbits and may cause similar reactions in man. Compound AI3-37157 caused no such reaction, nor any primary skin irritation or skin sensitization. While mildly irritating to ocular tissues, AI3-37157 was not acutely toxic by ingestion.

Study Nos. 75-51-0020-80, 75-51-0021-80, and 75-51-0022-80, Mar 77 - Apr 80

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-37157 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent. Compounds AI3-37135 and AI3-37156 cannot be classed as nonhazardous, and it is recommended that they be disapproved for further testing.



ALLEN W. SINGER
CPT, VC
Laboratory Animal Veterinary Officer
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:



ARTHUR H. MCCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.